# Lecture 2: Lesson and Activity Packet

# MATH 330: Calculus III

September 9, 2016

Last time, we discussed:

- Sequence
- Term of a sequence
- Index of a term
- Set of natural numbers N
- Fibonacci sequence
- Convergence of a sequence
- Limit of a sequence
- Divergence of a sequence
- Divergence to positive or negative infinity

We also learned the mathematical notions of:

- Counterexample to disprove an assertion
- Quantifiers to abbreviate words
- Logical implications that could be reversible or just backward Questions on any of this?

The "epsilon" definition of sequence convergence is rigorous and applicable in all situations. But, as you noticed when studying the analogue definition for limits and continuity of functions in Calc I, it's not the easiest thing to work with. This is why mathematicians have used the rigorous definition to develop shortcuts; using these shortcuts to prove convergence of a sequence saves a great deal of effort.

# Theorem 1 (Theorem 55, p. 400)

Let  $\{a_n\}$  be a sequence of terms, and let f(x) be a function whose domain is  $\mathbb{R}^+$  ( $\mathbb{R}^+$  denotes the set of all positive real numbers) such that  $f(n) = a_n$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then

$$\lim_{x \to \infty} f(x) = \lim_{n \to \infty} a_n,$$

provided that the limit of f exists.

Notes about this theorem:

"Provided that the limit of f exists"

## Group Exercise 1

- What is  $\lim_{x\to\infty} \cos(2\pi x)$ ? [Does it exist?] Remember that x is a continuous variable in this limit; it can be any real number:  $\frac{1}{2}\sqrt{D} \frac{1}{2}\frac{$
- What is  $\lim_{n\to\infty} \cos(2\pi n)$ ? Remember in this case that n is a discrete variable; that is, it can assume only integer values.
- There are many sequences that don't have an associated function that defines their terms. (Can you think of an example?) Theorem 55 does not apply to those sequences. We would need other tools to compute their limits or to determine whether they converge at all.
- We actually knew very little about the concept "limit of a sequence" before this theorem, even if we remembered a lot of convenient rules about the "limit of a function" from our studies in Calc I. The reason Theorem 55 is so powerful is that by relating those two concepts, it suddenly gives us many more tools that can be applied to "limit of a sequence".
- It is not terribly difficult to prove this theorem: the main argument lies in unpacking what f(x) → L means in terms of "epsilsons" (just look up the definition from Cale I notes).

You might remember the "sum rule" for computing the limit of a sum of functions:

If f and g are real-valued functions of a real variable, and if L and M are finite real numbers such that  $f \to L$  and  $g \to M$ , then f(x) + g(x) also converges, and  $\lim_{x \to \infty} [f(x) + g(x)] = L + M$ .

The limit of the sum is the sum of the limits (provided that the limits exist).

What are some other basic rules for computing function limits? (Draw from your knowledge of Calc I).

· Condrawn multiple: Link k.f(n): k. lim f(n) , if lim f(n)

Product: Lin frager) = Lin fram gras, Line gras, provided both with

quotaint (etc.)

Compute the limits of the following convergent sequences:

- (I).
- ${n-n^2 \choose n^4+3}$  [Hint: Multiply this expression by  $1/n^6$ , which is equal to 14

1 mily = 41-1 y min 1-1 = 1-0=1 0-1 4 4 - 1. July 2 - 1- 0- 1

4-7mb (1/mb) = him 4/m - 7+ m + 3 (1/mb) = m 200 (+3/mb

An important note about the sum rule: its converse does not hold. That is, if we know only that the sum of two sequences converges, we cannot conclude that each of the sequences also converges.

Example 1

 $\{a_n\} := \{1,2,3,\ldots\}$  diverges, and  $\{b_n\} := \{-1,-2,-3,\ldots\}$  diverges. But their sum is  $\{a_n+b_n\} = \{0,0,0,\ldots\}$ , which does converge (to what?).

We can even use the more sophisticated rules we know for computing limits of functions, like l'Hôpital's rule.

 $|\frac{\operatorname{Show that}\{\frac{\ln(n)}{n}\} \to 0.}{| \text{To use L'Höpihal:} \bigoplus \text{Lu}(n) \text{ is cits is diffible on more$ (3) da (n) = 140 as or 20

Min I my thing I me to lin du (m) N. 72 60

Theorem 2 (Squeeze Theorem (Sandwich Theorem))

Let  $\{a_n\}$ ,  $\{b_n\}$ , and  $\{c_n\}$  be sequences of real numbers. If  $a_n \le b_n \le c_n$  holds for all n > N (N is some index), and if  $\lim_{n \to \infty} a_n = \lim_{n \to \infty} c_n =: L$ , then  $\lim_{n \to \infty} b_n = L$  too.

# Group Exercise 5

Use the Squeeze/Sandwich Theorem to compute the limits of the following sequences:

 $igl. \left\{ rac{\cos(n)}{n} 
ight\}$ 

 $\left\{ \left\{ \frac{1}{n} \right\} \right\} \text{ contrived example - don't do.}$ 

Make sure that you state clearly what  $a_n$ ,  $b_n$ , and  $c_n$  are

Then dim an him cm = 0 (es we showed)

and as in sosim) of the squeeze them.

3

give him were on well.

B bar := (-1)m. Let any con be as before,

ne 2 (1) m = m, and so lim ( ) ( ) m = 0 to.

Ç

#### Definition 1

A sequence  $\{a_n\}$  is bounded from above if there exists some  $M \in \mathbb{R}$  such that for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $a_n \leq M$ . In this case, M is called an upper bound for  $\{a_n\}$ . If M is an upper bound for  $\{a_n\}$  but no number less than M is also an upper bound for  $\{a_n\}$ , then M is called the least upper bound for  $\{a_n\}$ .

A sequence  $\{a_n\}$  is bounded from below if there exists some  $M \in \mathbb{R}$  such that for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $a_n \geq M$ . In this case, M is called a lower bound for  $\{a_n\}$ . If M is a lower bound for  $\{a_n\}$  but no number greater than M is also a lower bound for  $\{a_n\}$ , then M is called the greatest lower bound for  $\{a_n\}$ .

If  $\{a_n\}$  is bounded from above and from below, then  $\{a_n\}$  is called bounded. If  $\{a_n\}$  is not bounded, then we say that  $\{a_n\}$  is unbounded.

## Group Exercise 6

Discuss whether the following sequences are bounded. Do they have upper or lower bounds?

- $\{1,2,3,\ldots,n,\ldots\}$
- $\{\frac{1}{2}, \frac{2}{8}, \frac{3}{4}, \frac{4}{5}, \dots, \frac{n}{n+1}, \dots\}$

1) Unbounded above - lower down & in 1.

(9) Brunded below by to and above by to

#### Theorem 3

If a sequence converges, then it is bounded.

This is a logical implication, or an if-then statement. These statements are phrased "if A, then B" or "A implies  $\mathbb{B}^{n_1}$ 

# Definition 2 (Contrapositive)

The contrapositive of "if A then B" is "if not B, then not A".

## Group Exercise 7

What is the contrapositive of the statement, "If I am in Calc III, then I have already passed Calc III'?

#### Theorem 4

Every if then statement is logically equivalent to its contrapositive (that is, the if then statement is true exactly when the contrapositive is true, and is false exactly when the contrapositive is false).

## Group Exercise 8

What is the contrapositive of "If a sequence converges, then it is bounded"?

# Definition 3 (Converse)

The converse of "if A then B" is "if B then A".

If-then statements are not logically equivalent to their converses. Sometimes they are true, and sometimes they are false.

## Group Exercise 9

The statement "If I am in Cale III, then I have finished Cale II" has converse "If I have finished Cale II, then I am in Cale III." Is the converse true?

Not necessarily; could have just stopped the calculus ocqueus.

# Group Exercise 10

What is the converse of "if a sequence converges, then it is bounded"? Is the converse true? Prove it, or provide a counterexample proving it false.

# Group Exercise 11

What is the converse of "if a+3=7, then a=4"? Is the converse a true statement? Is the original implication true?

(b) If a seq. is bounded, then it converges.

False! e.g., (-1) is bd. above by 1,

below by -1, and doesn't converge.

(11) If x=4 then x+3=7. Thu, and the original is that is .

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>There are actually several ways that a logical implication can be written in English. "B, provided A" is another one. Try to think of more.

# Definition 4 (Monotonicity)

- A sequence  $\{a_n\}$  is called nondecreasing if for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $a_n \leq a_{n+1}$ .
- A sequence  $\{a_n\}$  is called nonincreasing if for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $a_n \geq a_{n+1}$ .
- A sequence {a<sub>n</sub>} is called monotonic if it is either nonincreasing, or nondecreasing.

Group Exercise 12
Are the following sequences monotonic?

- [1,2,...,n,...] YES
- 537 (""†"" †"). 537 (""†"" †"). 531 (""†" (\$9).
- (1,-1,...,(-1)\*-1,...) NO

# Theorem 5 (Bounded Monotonic Sequences Converge)

If a sequence is both bounded and monotonic, then it converges.

- If the sequence is nondecreasing, and bounded from above: must have a least upper bound, and that is the limit.
- If the sequence is nonincreasing, and bounded from below: must have a greatest lower bound, and that is the limit.

# Group Exercise 13

Prove or provide a counterexample. What is the converse of the Bounded Monotonic Sequences Theorem? Is the converse true?

#### Recap

- $\bullet$  Limits of sequences as limits of functions (when the functions exist)
- Sum/difference rule, product/quotient rule, constant multiple rule for sequences
- Using l'Hôpital's rule for computing sequence limits
- Squeeze/Sandwich theorem
- Boundedness
- Monotonicity
- Bounded Monotonic Sequences theorem

In addition, we learned a bit about logic:

- Logical implication / if-then statement
- Contrapositive
- Converse

### Homework

- Please find the syllabus module on Canvas, and complete the quix there. This quix will
  not contribute to your grade, but it is required before you complete Lecture Modules
  1 and 2.
- Canvas Homework 1 has been posted to Canvas, and will be due September 12.
- Written Homework 1 is available on Canvas and on paper in class today, and will be due September 14.