

Oct. 18: Lin. Indep. (1943B); Wronskian (63B).

Def. A set of functions  $\{f_1(x), f_2(x), f_3(x), \dots, f_n(x)\}$  is said t/b linearly dependent if  $\exists c_1, c_2, \dots, c_n \in \mathbb{R}$  that are not all zero, s.t.

linear combination  $\left\{ c_1 f_1(x) + c_2 f_2(x) + c_3 f_3(x) + \dots + c_n f_n(x) = 0 \right.$   
for every  $x \in I$ . (We assume the functions  $f_i$  are all defined in  $I$ .)

If no such set of constants exists, then the set is said t/b linearly independent.

Example ①  $\{x, -2x, -3x, 4x\}$ ,  $I$  is  $(-\infty, \infty)$

✓  $x$  is defined on  $(-\infty, \infty)$

✓  $-2x$  \_\_\_\_\_ " \_\_\_\_\_

✓  $-3x$  \_\_\_\_\_ " \_\_\_\_\_

✓  $4x$  \_\_\_\_\_ " \_\_\_\_\_

Try to find  $c_1, c_2, c_3$ , and  $c_4$  not all zero s.t.

$$c_1(x) + c_2(-2x) + c_3(-3x) + c_4(4x) = 0 \quad \forall x \in \underset{(-\infty, \infty)}{\overset{I}{\mathbb{R}}}$$
$$x[c_1 - 2c_2 - 3c_3 + 4c_4] = 0$$

$c_1 = 2, c_2 = 1, c_3 = c_4 = 0$  works, thus  $\{x, -2x, -3x, 4x\}$  is lin. DEP.

Example (2)  $\{x^p, x^q\}$ ,  $p \neq q$ ,  $x > 0$  or  $x < 0$   
 $\mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$  }  $I$

✓  $x^p$  is defined on  $I$

✓  $x^q$  ——— " ———

Either find  $c_1, c_2 \in \mathbb{R}$  ~~not both zero~~ not both zero,

s.t.  $c_1 x^p + c_2 x^q = 0 \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$ .

For a contradiction, assume  $\exists c_1, c_2 \in \mathbb{R}$ , not both zero,

s.t.  $c_1 x^p + c_2 x^q = 0$ .  $\wedge$  let  $c_1 \neq 0$ .

wlog,

Then since  $c_1 x^p = -c_2 x^q$ , we have  $x^{p-q} = -\frac{c_2}{c_1}$ .

(We could do the division because  $x$  doesn't assume the value  $0 \notin I$ , and bc.  $c_1 \neq 0$ .)

So  $\underbrace{x^{p-q}}_{\text{varies with } x} = \underbrace{-\frac{c_2}{c_1}}_{\text{does not vary (constant)}} \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$ .

Contradiction!  $\# \quad \longleftrightarrow \quad \downarrow$

Therefore,  $\nexists c_1, c_2 \in \mathbb{R}$  ~~not~~ not both zero s.t.

$c_1 x^p + c_2 x^q = 0$ ; i.e.,  $\{x^p, x^q\}$  is lin. INdep.

## Determinants.

4

Def. (The determinant of a  $2 \times 2$  matrix)

The matrix  $\begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^{2 \times 2}$  has determinant

$$\det \left( \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix} \right) := \begin{vmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{vmatrix} := ad - cb = ad - cb.$$

Example.  $\det \left( \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 14 & 5 \end{bmatrix} \right) = 1 \cdot 5 - 14 \cdot 0 = 5$

Def'n. The Wronskian of  $\{f_1(x), f_2(x), \dots, f_m(x)\}$

is  $\det \left( \begin{bmatrix} f_1^{(0)}(x) & f_2^{(0)}(x) & \dots & f_m^{(0)}(x) \\ f_1^{(1)}(x) & f_2^{(1)}(x) & \dots & f_m^{(1)}(x) \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ f_1^{(m-1)}(x) & f_2^{(m-1)}(x) & \dots & f_m^{(m-1)}(x) \end{bmatrix} \right)$

Example.  $\{x, e^x\}$  has Wronskian:

$$W(\{x, e^x\}) = \det \left( \begin{bmatrix} x & e^x \\ 1 & e^x \end{bmatrix} \right) = xe^x - 1 \cdot e^x = e^x(x-1).$$

Theorem. Let  $S := \{f_1(x), f_2(x), \dots, f_m(x)\}$ .

If  $S$  is lin. dep.,  $\leftarrow$  on the interval  $I$

Then  $W(S) \equiv 0$  on  $I$ .

(That is,  $W(S)$  "is identically zero", i.e.,  $W(S) = 0$   
 $\forall x \in I$ .)

$$\text{Lin. dep.} \Rightarrow W \equiv 0$$

Contrapositive: If  $W(S) \neq 0$  on  $I$ ,

Then  $S$  is linearly independent on  $I$ .

(i.e., if  $\exists x \in I$  s.t.  $W(S) \neq 0$ , then  $S$  is l. indep. on  $I$ ).

Converse:

~~$W \equiv 0 \Rightarrow \text{lin. dep.}$~~  !

counterexample:  $\{x^2, x|x|\}$

Example.  $\{x, e^x\}$ ,  $I = \mathbb{R}$

✓  $x$  is def. on  $\mathbb{R}$

✓  $e^x$  ———

$$W(\{x, e^x\}) = \det \begin{pmatrix} x & e^x \\ 1 & e^x \end{pmatrix} = xe^x - 1 \cdot e^x = e^x(x-1).$$

Observe that for  $x = \pi$ ,  $W(\{x, e^x\}) = e^\pi(\pi-1) \neq 0$ ,

and so  $\{x, e^x\}$  is lin. indep.

Example.  $\{x^2, x|x|\}$ ,  $I := (-2, 2)$  ✓  $x^2$  def on  $I$   
✓  $x|x|$  ———

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Observe: } \frac{d}{dx} [x|x|] &= x \frac{d}{dx} (|x|) + |x| \\ &= x|x|' + |x| \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{So } W(\{x^2, x|x|\}) &= \det \begin{pmatrix} x^2 & x|x| \\ 2x & x|x|' + |x| \end{pmatrix} \\ &= x^2(x|x|' + |x|) - 2x \cdot x|x| \\ &= x^3|x|' + x^2|x| - 2x^2|x| = \underline{\underline{x^3|x|' - x^2|x|}} \end{aligned}$$

L63, ct'd.

~~7~~

Case I Then  $|x|^3 \equiv 1$ , because  $|x| = x$  for  $x > 0$ .  
 $x > 0$

$$\text{So } W(s) = x^3 \cdot 1 - x^2 \cdot x = x^3 - x^3 = 0 \quad \forall x > 0.$$

Case II Then  $|x| = -x$ , so  $|x|^3 = -1$ .  
 $x < 0$

$$\text{So } W(s) = x^3(-1) - x^2(-x) = -x^3 + x^3 = 0 \quad \forall x < 0.$$

Case III Then  $|x| = x = 0$ , so  $W(s) = x^2(x|x|^3 - |x|)$   
 $x = 0$ 
$$= 0.$$

So  $\forall x$ ,  $W(s) = 0$ . Thus,  $W(s) \equiv 0$  on  $(-2, 2)$ .

However, consider the linear comb.

$$c_1 x^2 + c_2 x|x| \equiv 0 \quad \text{with } c_1, c_2 \text{ not both } 0 \quad (\text{i.e., set is lin. dep.})$$

Since this l.c. is 0  $\forall x \in (-2, 2)$ , then choose  $x = 1$ :

$$c_1 + c_2 = 0$$

Choose  $x = -1$ :  $c_1 - c_2 = 0$ .

$$c_1 + c_2 = 0$$

$$c_1 - c_2 = 0 \Rightarrow c_1 = c_2. \quad \text{So } c_1 + c_1 = 0$$

$$2c_1 = 0 \Rightarrow c_1 = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow c_2 = 0.$$

$\downarrow$   $\longrightarrow \longleftarrow$  linear  
Contradiction! Our assumption of dependence was false.